

Daniel Marcu is a professor at the University of Southern California (http://www.isi.edu/~marcu/) and Founder of Language Weaver Inc. (www.languageweaver.com).

Alan Melby is a professor at Brigham Young University (http://www.ttt.org/akmcv.html).

This debate is about the future of data-driven machine translation (MT), in particular about hurdles to overcome if it is to produce translations indistinguishable from those of professional human translators.

Data-driven machine translation uses large bitext corpora (primarily of human translations) as the basis for producing translations, as opposed to traditional machine translation, which uses morphological, syntactic, and semantic analysis, transfer and generation, based on dictionary lookup and rules.



There was an initial period of optimism in the 1950s, when machine translation research and development began.

Then, there was a dark period after the publication of the ALPAC report (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ALPAC) in the mid 1960s.

Then, there was another period of optimism in the 1980s, followed by a period of realism in the 1990s. In the 1990s, few if any MT developers claimed that MT would compete directly with human translators in the foreseeable future. Instead, proponents of MT looked for appropriate applications of MT, especially (a) domain-specific, controlledlanguage systems and (b) information-gathering (as opposed to publication-quality) systems where highly accurate and readable translation is not necessary.

Now, in the first decade of the new century, there is new optimism among MT developers, based primarily on the hope that a data-driven approach will overcome the obstacles encountered by those who have focused on a rule-based approach.

Part 1: Challenges Ahead for Data-driven Machine Translation

- a: Comparison with human qualifications
- b: Avoidance of compositionality assumption
- c: Using relevant text (co-,rel-,chron-, and bi-)
- d: Using relevant "non-text" real world info
- e: Displaying "second-order creativity" (creating novel solutions and detecting need)

Melby suggested that there are at least these five types of challenges ahead for datadriven MT as it attempts to go beyond the traditional applications of MT and compete directly with professional human translators. Each is further described in subsequent slides.



Next five slides describe the process of commercial translation involving human translators and lists some of their qualifications.

Slide 4

Challenge 1: Comparison with Human Qualifications

• Display same qualifications required of human translators or explain why some are not needed for data-driven machine translation systems

Melby suggested that a machine translation system that performs as well as a professional human translator would have to exhibit the same qualifications required of human translators. If machine translation proponents want to challenge this, they should be able to explain why some of those qualifications required of humans should not be required of machines.



This diagram is from a draft of the ASTM translation standard, showing the phases of a typical translation project:

The specifications phase

The production phase

The post-project review phase



The specifications phase involves a dialogue between the requester (the client) and the provider (the translator or translation project manager) about the nature of the source text, the exact variation of the target language that is to be produced (e.g. Canadian French vs. Belgian French), the audience for the translation, and the purpose of the translation, to name a just a few translation parameters. A more extensive list of translation parameters relevant to producing specifications for a translation project are available at the www.ttt.org/specs webpage.



- Analysis (study source text; finalize specifications; gather resources)
- Translation (actual translation)
- Editing (source- vs. target-text comparison)
- Final Formatting
- Proofing (monolingual target-text check)

This slide shows the major steps that are followed during an actual translation project.

Some qualifications needed for human translators

- Ability to *understand* source text
- Ability to *write* in target language
- Ability to *adjust* to audience and purpose, when translating and evaluating whether source and target texts correspond

In this slide, Melby points out that human translators are expected to understand the source text, be able to write well in the target language and be able to adjust to the audience and purpose (so that the same source text may have different translations depending on audience and purpose). These requirements may seem totally obvious to a professional human translator but they may not be so obvious to a person who believes in data-driven machine translation.

Slide 10

Audience and Purpose

- Same source text may be translated very differently, depending on audience and purpose
 - A story could be translated for easy reading and the storyline (adjusted for target culture)
 - Same story could be translated for access to the source culture by those who can't read original

How will data-driven MT adjust its output according to the audience and purpose of the translation?

Slide 11

Data-driven Comments on Challenge 1

Airplanes don't bat their wings, but they still fly.

In this slide and the next four, Marcu counters Melby by pointing out that airplanes do not bat their wings, implying that a machine translation system need not have the same qualifications as a human translator.

Slide 12



The Chinese Room experiment was originally proposed by the philosopher Searle (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese_room). In this experiment, a human who does not speak Chinese is asked to translate a Chinese document into English and is given detailed instructions on how to do it without understanding the source text.



Marcu describes a Chinese room experiment recently conducted in which humans were able to translate Chinese into English without understanding it.

Slide 14



This diagram from Marcu suggests how the English translation was produced.

Slide 15



Marcu claims that his Chinese Room experiment demonstrates that humans need not understand the source language in order to translate well.

Marcu also claims that we have no way of knowing whether SMT (statistical MT – a type of data-driven MT) systems understand the source language or not.

Melby notes that Marcu's response does not address the proposed need for adjusting to audience and purpose (have the machine "paraphrase the output") is vague and does not demonstrate that it would actually work. Furthermore, Melby suggests that the Chinese Room experiment should be replicated with a variety of source texts and target languages. Furthermore, Melby points out that even if this experiment does succeed, it does not prove that data-driven MT will succeed, since the humans involved do understand the target language and are able to write in it, while the machine may not.

A fundamental question is left open: is understanding of the source text necessary for a high-quality translation? For Melby, the answer is obviously "yes". For Marcu, the answer is obviously "no".

Slide 16

Challenge 2: Avoidance of compositionality assumption

Compositionality: computation of the meaning of a sentence from the bottom up by combining context-free sub-meanings

Melby points out that mainstream linguistics assumes that the meaning of a sentence (or at least the possible meanings) can be computed by combining context-independent units of meaning at the word level into larger and larger units.

Slide 17



Melby gives an example of real language where the meaning of the sentences is not easily derived from context-free meanings of the individual words. Longacre's sentence refers to a sentence in Chomsky's *Syntactic Structures* ("Colorless green ideas sleep furiously").

Melby claims that much of the meaning of language is non-compositional.

Slide 18

Data-driven Comments on Challenge 2

Marcu presents a series of slides in response to the second challenge.

Slide 19



Maru pointed out that data-driven MT has been improving in quality and therefore will likely to continue improving in quality until it meets or exceeds human quality translation.

Slide 20



Marcu shows what can be done with a word-for-word translation approach (see text at bottom of slide).

Slide 21



Marcu shows what more can be done with a phrase-for-phrase approach. Note that this approach does not attempt to take into account meaning. It only matches sequences of words.



Marcu replies initially by pointing out that data-driven MT is working better and better. He does not directly address the issue raised by Melby. What is not explicit in these slides is the claim by Melby that data-driven MT currently assumes that translations can be put together from pieces of text from a bitext without taking larger context into account.

Slide 22

Discussion Automatically learned phrase-to-phrase dictionary entries solve the compositionality problem – locally. "real" "estate" There is no evidence that MT suffers from a global compositionality problem.

Marcu is saying that data-driven MT has not reached its limits and that phrase dictionaries (for terms such as "real estate" that do not have the meaning of the combination of the two words of which they are composed) may be sufficient to produce MT output indistinguishable from professional human translation. Marcu also believes that compositionality is a troublesome concept only as long as it is confined to constructions derived from atomic, word-based meanings. If one is open to operate with longer phrases and abstract concepts, Marcu predicts that data-driven systems should evolve to the level where such concepts can be learned from data.

Melby points out that there is no proof that data-driven MT will not eventually plateau in quality, unless it abandons the compositionality assumption. Producing phrase dictionaries only pushes the compositionality question up a level: is meaning compositional based on combinations of phrases?

This issue is left open for further debate in the future.

Challenge 3: Using relevant text

Often, translation decisions need to be sensitive to local co-text; sometimes they depend on text beyond the boundaries of the current sentence

Melby points out that translation sometimes depends on information beyond the current sentence and gives examples. For a discussion of various aspects of context, see the paper downloadable from the http://www.ttt.org/context/ webpage.

Slide 25

Pronouns

- Pronoun reference outside current sentence can influence grammatical gender
 - The shoe was found on the stairs...
 - (intervening sentences)
 - It was brown with white laces.

Sometimes the relevant co-text is far from the current sentence. How will data-driven MT take this into account?

Out of Africa

- From Ulisse July 2006 (Alitalia's inflight magazine): E'però nel 1985 che Pollack riceve l'Oscar alla regia per "La mia Africa", ...
- English in magazine: In 1985 Pollack received an Oscar for directing "My Africa", ... [error by human translator]
- Poster on same page: "Out of Africa"

Even human translators can make mistakes when they do not search relevant texts and images. How will data-driven MT do better?

Slide 27



These posters show that the Italian movie title should be translated as "Out of Africa", not "My Africa".



Marcu responds to the challenge of using relevant text that is not in the immediate vicinity, say within the sentence, of the text being translated.

28

Slide 28

Slide 29



Marcu shows how local (adjacent word) context can be taken into account. Melby does not challenge that data-driven MT can do this to a degree.

Slide 30



Slide 31

De loc syr	ecoding with cally sensitive ntax rules		DT(the VPB(i NNP(f CC(ar NNP(r NNS(a .(.) \rightarrow	ese) \rightarrow THI nclude) \rightarrow france) \rightarrow F nd) \rightarrow AND russia) \rightarrow F astronauts)	ese INCLUDE FRANCE RUSSIA → ASTRONAUTS
DT these	VBP include 	NNP France 	CC and	NNP Russia 	NNS astronauts
THESE	7PEOPLE INCLUDE COMINGF	ROM FRANCE	AND	RUSSIA p	-DE ASTRONAUTS

Slide 32



Slide 33



Slide 34



Slide 35





Note that most data-driven MT systems do not currently include full syntactic analysis, which would be needed for the processing suggested by Marcu's local context slides. In this slide, Marcu admits that data-driven MT has not yet addressed the question of taking into account extra-sentential co-text.

The question of relevant text that is not local is left open for future discussion.




Melby points out that sometimes translation requires even more than text, namely "non-text" (which could also be called "extra-text" or "un-text").

Slide 38



- Corpus: One hundred files from English-French European Parliament
 - English term: chair
 - 109 instances
 - Mostly chair of meeting or to chair a meeting
 - One instance of *university chair* (position)
 - Three involve object for sitting: French *chaise* vs. *fauteuil* (need to know whether chair has arms to select appropriate translation)

The text surrounding the word "chair" may indicate that it is furniture as opposed to being a person, but may not be sufficient to determine whether the chair has arms or not. And this non-textual information is needed to translate correctly into French.



What if the term "manager's elbow" has not yet been translated into German or Hungarian? How would a data-driven MT system translate this term correctly without non-textual information about the real world (e.g. what the term means)? This challenge is related to Melby's claim that high quality translation requires an understanding of the source text. Without information beyond the source text, how will the system know that "manager's elbow" should not be translated literally?

Slide 40



These cartoons (where the letters are probably not available as searchable text) is the probable real-world reference of the blog entry. On the previous slide.



Data-driven Comments on Challenge 4

Marcu responds to Melby's claim that sometimes non-textual information is needed to produce a high-quality translation.

Slide 42



Marcu claims that there is no need to use information that is not explicitly in text. Lots of information is available in text explicitly in long n-grams, for example. And even more is available implicitly in abstractions that are not learned by the current technology. Marcu believes that as the field matures, computer programs will be able to learn all the required information (explicit and implicit) from large bilingual and monolingual corpora.

Melby replies: Time will tell.

This issue is unresolved. The claim that non-textual information is needed constitutes a direct challenge to the data-driven approach. Marcu has not shown how Melby's examples, "chair" and "manager's elbow" would be handled by the data-driven approach. But even if he does solve these problems, there is an infinite number of other similar problems out there. This is a philosophical issue: is everything needed to produce high-quality translations available somewhere in a textual form?

Challenge 5: Displaying "second-order creativity"

First-order creativity involves algorithmically generating an infinite number of items from a finite system; second-order creativity involves creating elements outside that infinite result

Melby defines "second order creativity". Note that this definition does not correspond directly to "second-order creativity" as used by John P. Miller in *The Holistic Curriculum*.

Second-order creativity applied to data-driven MT

- Ability to *create or retrieve* translations when not in corpus (no corpus is complete)
- Ability to *detect* that none of the translation options in the corpus are appropriate (and thus creative translation is needed instead of using what is there)

Melby points out that sometimes the answer is not in the corpus. (Afterwards, he wished he had further emphasized that that even if the appropriate solution is in the corpus, it may not be easy for the machine to detect which is the appropriate one for this particular source text.)



How would "a duxelles of mushrooms" be translated in Greek, Urdu, and Japanese? What if there is no segment of text in the corpus that contains this phrase and is already translated into the target language?



What if Zoopharmacognosy is not your corpus?

Slide 47



The word Brösmelitöf, a synonym for vacuum cleaner, was made up by a relative of Melby living in Switzerland.



Even in the phrase "Lights are on but there's nobody home" is in the corpus, how would a data-driven MT system know that "The lights are dim and not even the neighbors are home" is not literal but instead a variant of the idiomatic expression?



How would a data-driven MT system know that "Vertical House on the Prairie" is based on the name of an old TV series and needs an equally creative translation into French, related to the literal referent (The Price Tower).



Sometimes a specification of naturalness for a translation requires considerable creativity to avoid a translation that uses a term (such as "Jenga") that is very uncommon in the target language. No one translation will work in all contexts, so a corpus search may not be sufficient.

Data-driven Comments on Challenge 5

Now Marcu responds to the need for second-order creativity in some cases.



Marcu gives examples of human translations that are different from other human translations. Marcu makes the point that humans make some of the types of mistakes that Melby is complaining about machines making. Marcu believes that machines will get as good as humans at the task of translating not because they will produce perfect outputs, but because not even humans produce perfect outputs.

Melby believes that this answer sidesteps the issue. The fact that humans make mistakes does not guarantee that machines will exhibit second-order creativity.

Slide 53



Marcu hopes that data-driven MT will succeed. Time will tell.

The question of whether data-driven MT can handle situations where second-order creativity is needed is open to future discussion.

There was a tentative agreement to re-stage this debate after five years (in 2011) to see how data-driven MT has done relative to the challenges pointed out by Melby.





Melby lists some areas in various disciplines that might help data-driven MT advance.

Help 1: Functionalism

The ASTM standard partially formalizes the notion of specifications, which is an expression of how to adapt to the audience and purpose of a translation. The translation process is not a function, but becomes more like a function with two arguments (sourceText; specifications) rather than one (just sourceText).

Functionalism is a trend in translation studies. Melby suggests that data-driven MT developers would do well to study Functionalism.



Melby suggests that data-driven MT cannot reach human quality unless the input is not just a source text but also a set of specifications.

Data-driven Comments on Functionalism

Marcu responds.

Slide 58



Marcu notes that MT quality is improving by one measure (but, Melby points out, that does not include specifications).



Marcu asks linguists to help MT with the problems that MT developers point out, not the ones linguistics are interested in.

Help 2: Stratification

Melby discusses stratification.



Some Basic Strata

- Phonological/morphological structure
- Syntactic structure
- Meaning structure
- Note: they all co-exist and interrelate

Most linguistics recognize various strata.



Melby suggests that a data-driven MT system must take strata into account and check for well-formedness on multiple levels (syntactically, semantically, etc).

Data-driven Comments on Stratification

Marcu responds.



Marcu points out that data-driven MT does recognize multiple strata. The question is whether it will reach the higher strata.

Help 3: Domains

• Identifying the domain that applies to an item of source text helps select an appropriate translation when the immediate context does not suffice

Melby suggests that identifying the domain that a source text item (word, phrase, etc.) belongs to would help improve MT accuracy.

Data-driven Comments on Domains

Marcu responds.



Marcu admits there is relatively little research so far on domains in MT and suggests that the LW (Language Weaver) Customizer uses domains.



The LW Customizer customizes a data-driven MT system to texts that are part of a particular domain, in order to increase accuracy.

Melby pointed out later that there is a tension between the size of the bitext corpus used for data-driven MT and the focus of the corpus to the relevant domain. You can't have it both ways: either a corpus is really big and somewhat unfocused or smaller and more focused. So the argument that the main answer to obtaining better quality in data-driven MT is a bigger corpus is flawed because of this tension between size and focus. There may eventually be huge bitext corpora in particular domains for particular language combinations, but not for all languages in all domains. So here may be an inherent limitation to the data-driven MT approach. Professional human translators like having domain-specific resources to draw on but often have to function without them. They use intuition to combine domain-specific and general language information. How will datadriven MT decide when it is ok to use information from outside the subset of the corpus that is attached to the current domain? And how will it even identify the relevant domain?

Help 4: Interaction

Language learning for humans requires incremental meaningful interaction with others, not just textual input, so it might be the same for machines; translation also requires incremental re-evaluation (see language acquisition studies and Peircean semiotics).

Melby suggests that human-to-human interaction is essential to the development of professional translation proficiency.

One View of Language Learning

• Suppose you were locked in a room and were continually exposed to the sound of Chinese from a loudspeaker; however long the experiment continued, you would not end up speaking Chinese. ... What makes learning possible is the **information** received in parallel to the **linguistic input** in the narrow sense (the sound waves). Klein 1986 (*Second Lang. Ac.* Cambridge U Press)

Melby claims that language learning is more than just hearing language. At least partial understanding is also needed, or the language is not much different from noise.

Slide 71



Melby suggests that the Peircean semiogenic model of language is relevant to translation and that the dyadic model is insufficient. This idea is discussed at length in the article "Quality in translation: a lesson for the study of meaning" published in the journal *Linguistics and the Human Sciences*, Volume 1, number 3 (copyright 2005, appeared in 2006).
Slide 72



This diagram from the article shows how the Peircean triangle supports the notion of interaction in translation. Tentative translations are evaluated in terms of such factors as possibly connotations (desirable and less so), possible referential ambiguities, purpose of the translation, and predicted reader response.

Slide 73

Data-driven Comments on Interaction

Marcus responds.

Slide 74



Marcu suggests that interaction is not needed and that everything needed for translation is in texts.

ur accimment translate this to Arcturan: farek arreak hibek versek elek kentek ek ver	
	uran. Talok emok milok yolok clok kanok ok-yuij
1a. ok-voon ororok sprok .	7a. lalok farok ororok lalok sprok izok enemok .
1b. at-voon bichat dat .	7b. wat jjat bichat wat dat vat eneat .
2a. ok-drubel ok-voon anok plok sprok .	8a. lalok brok anok plok nok .
2b. at-drubel at-voon pippat rrat dat .	8b. iat lat pippat rrat nnat .
3a. erok sprok izok hihok ghirok .	9a. wiwok nok izok kantok ok-yurp .
3b. totat dat arrat vat hilat .	9b. totat nnat quat oloat at-yurp .
4a. ok-voon anok drok brok jok .	10a. lalok mok nok yorok ghirok clok .
4b. at-voon krat pippat sat lat .	10b. wat nnat gat mat bat hilat .
5a. wiwok farok izok stok .	11a. lalok nok crrrok hihok yorok zanzanok .
5b. totat jjat quat cat .	11b. wat nnat arrat mat zanzanat .
6a. lalok sprok izok jok stok .	12a. lalok rarok nok izok hihok mok .

Marcu gives an example of how humans can figure out languages they do not know.

Centauri/Arcturan our assignment, put these words in order: jjat, arrat, mat, bat, oloat, at-yurp }	
1b. at-voon bichat dat .	7b. wat jjat bichat wat dat vat eneat .
2a. ok-drubel ok-voon anok plok sprok .	8a. lalok brok anok plok nok .
2b. at-drubel at-voon pippat rrat dat .	8b. iat lat pippat rrat nnat .
3a. erok sprok izok hihok ghirok .	9a. wiwok nok izok kantok ok-yurp .
3b. totat dat arrat vat hilat .	9b. totat nnat quat oloat at-yurp.
4a. ok-voon anok drok brok jok .	10a. lalok mok nok yorok ghirok clok .
5a. wiwok farok izok stok .	11a. lalok nok crrrok hihok yorok zanzanok .
5b. totat jjat quat cat .	11b. wat nnat arrat mat zanzanat . fertility
6a. lalok sprok izok jok stok .	12a. lalok rarok nok izok hihok mok .
6b. wat dat krat quat cat .	12b. wat nnat forat arrat vat gat .

Example continues.

Help 5: Embodiment

Some source texts, audiences, and purposes may require a system that believes it has a body, otherness, and agency

Melby suggests that a machine translation system that does really well compared to a professional human translator will need to be conscious and believe that it has a body, that other conscious being exist, and that it has free will (agency).



I am looking forward to having this problem

Marcu indicates that there is plenty to work on in MT that does not require embodiment.



Melby asked two old-timers (contemporaries of Noam Chomsky) for advice to the datadriven MT community and congratulates the data-driven MT community for escaping from the assumptions of the previous generation of rule-based MT systems. Slide 80



The bottom line is that it is too soon to tell whether data-driven MT will succeed in producing translations indistinguishable from professional human translations. We should hold another debate every five years and see what progress has been made in data-driven MT relative to the five challenges identified by Melby and the five areas of translation studies, linguistics, and philosophy that might need to be taken into account in future MT systems.

Melby and Marcu ended the debate as friends who disagree on almost everything except that the debate is far from over.