Syntactic Dependence and the Computer Generation of Coherent Discourse

by Sheldon Klein and Robert F. Simmons,* System Development Corporation, Santa Monica, California

> An experiment in the computer generation of coherent discourse was successfully conducted to test a hypothesis about the transitive nature of syntactic dependency relations among elements of the English language. The two primary components of the experimental computer program consisted of a phrase structure generation grammar capable of generating grammatical nonsense, and a monitoring system which would abort the generation process whenever it was apparent that the dependency structure of a sentence being generated was not in harmony with the dependency relations existing in an input source text. The final outputs of the system were coherent paraphrases of the source text. An implication of the hypothesis is that certain types of dependency relations are invariant under a variety of linguistic transformations. Potential applications include automatic kernelizing, question answering, automatic essay writing, and automatic abstracting systems.

> The question of the validity of transitive dependency models for languages other than English should be explored.

Introduction

This paper sets forth the hypothesis that there is in the English language a general principle of transitivity of dependence among elements and describes an experiment in the computer generation of coherent discourse that supports the hypothesis.

The hypothesis of transitive dependency, simply stated, is that if a word or element *a* modifies a word *b* and *b* modifies *c*, it may be said that *a* transitively modifies, or is dependent on, *c*. Based on this principle it was found possible to design and program a system to generate coherent discourse using both the AN/FSQ-32 (a large IBM military computer) and the IBM 7090. The input to the coherent discourse generator consists of written English text which has been analyzed in terms of syntactic dependency relations. The output is a large set of sentences generated by the computer, each of which is a coherent paraphrase of some portions of the input text.

We treat syntactic dependency as a primitive relation which is transitive in some environments, intransitive in others. While dependency may always be transitive in a system of formal logical syntax for English, results indicate that this is not always true for a semantic interpretation of that system. The totality of the conditions under which dependency is treated as intransitive is subject to empirical determination by analysis of the output of the discourse generator.

One of the components of the system is a phrase structure generation grammar which can generate grammatically correct nonsense. The vocabulary of a * This research was sponsored by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, under contract SD-97. source text is placed in the vocabulary pool of this program, and the generation of grammatical nonsense is initiated.

At the same time, a monitoring program inspects the sentence being generated and aborts the generation process whenever it is apparent that such a sentence would have dependency relations incompatible with those of the source text. The only permissible final output is a coherent paraphrase of the source text.

From one point of view, the system functions as a decision procedure for determining whether or not a sentence is the result of an application of legitimate transformations upon other sentences. The implication is that dependency, with its transitive and intransitive aspects, may be an invariant under many linguistic transformations. Also, the coherent discourse generator can be modified to act as an automatic kernelizer of English sentences.

It is also possible to describe the operation of the system in terms of the Stratificational Grammar of Sydney Lamb⁸. By relying upon constancies of word cooccurrence, the system provides a method of going from the morphemic stratum of a source to the morphemic stratum of an output, bypassing the sememic stratum.

BACKGROUND

In attempting to discover a logic that would allow a computer to answer questions from a natural English language text¹¹, we observed early that an acceptable answer could take many forms. Words different from those in the question would sometimes be the most

natural for an answer. This could be taken care of by a thesaurus or synonym dictionary. But often, even where all the words of the question were represented in the answer, the syntactic structure was remarkably different. It became apparent very quickly that in addition to the well-known fact of synonymy of different words in English, there existed a considerable degree of syntactic structures could nevertheless carry essentially the same meaning.

For example, the question "Where do large birds live?" transforms into a bewildering complexity of answering forms: "Living large birds are found (where)." "Birds that are large live (where)." "Living in (where), large birds, etc." These examples are of course just a few and are only those in which the words of the question occur in the answer.

Syntactic analysis of the answers showed that there was less variation in syntactic form than we had originally thought. But the fact that a word belonged in a particular type of phrase in the question gave no assurance that the same structure would be present in an answer. However, as we studied the syntactic trees of the potential answers we gradually realized that there was a relationship that appeared to be invariant.

The relative order of words on the syntactic dependency tree was approximately the same in every acceptable answer as it was in the question. Thus "Where do birds live?" gave a tree structure in which "live" is dependent on "birds," "where" is dependent on "live" and "birds" is the subject dependent upon itself. Each of the answers maintains these dependency relationships although there may be other words inserted at nodes on the syntactic tree between them. For example in the sentence "Birds that are large live (where)," "large" is dependent on "are," which is dependent on "that," which is finally dependent on "birds." Thus, in a transitive sense, "large" is dependent on "birds."

The relative invariance of order of words on the syntactic tree gave rise to the concept of *transitive dependency*. If there exists a wholly upward path between two words in a dependency tree, the two words are transitively dependent. As a further result of this idea, the concept of *syntactic synonymy* came to have quantifiable meaning. If two statements containing the same vocabulary tokens (excepting only inflectional changes) contain the same transitive dependencies, they are syntactically synonymous.

If these two ideas are generally valid, they imply that many operations on language hitherto impossible for computers at once become practical. For example, meaning-preserving transformations of the type that Harris⁵ performs, automatic essay writing, question answering and a whole realm of difficult language processing tasks all require (among other things) that syntactic synonymy be recognized and dealt with.

To study the generality of the principle of transitive dependency we began some programs that use this principle for generating coherent discourse. The hypothesis underlying these computer experiments may be stated roughly as follows. Given as input a set of English sentences, if we hold constant the set of vocabulary tokens and generate grammatical English statements from that vocabulary with the additional restriction that their transitive dependencies agree with those of the input text, the resulting sentences will all be truth-preserving paraphrases derived from the original set. To the extent that they are truth-preserving derivations, our rules for the transitivity of dependence are supported.

In order to accomplish this experiment we borrowed heavily from the program for generating grammatically valid but semantically nonsensical sentences described in detail by Yngve.^{13, 14} We modified his approach by selecting words as each part of the sentence became defined rather than waiting until the entire pattern was generated. In addition, we devised a system that adds the restriction that each word selected must meet the transitive dependency relations of the input text.

The coherent discourse generator, the dependency rules underlying it, its outputs, its applications and implications form the body of this paper.

Dependency

Before describing the design and operation of the coherent discourse generator, it is first necessary to explain the ground rules of dependency-the primitives on which the system is based. If English were a language in which each word necessarily modified the following word, the dependency structure would be immediately obvious-each word would be dependent on the succeeding word. Unfortunately, English, though highly sequential in nature, is not completely so; in order to uncover the relation of modification or dependency, a syntactic analysis is first necessary. (Such dependency analysis systems as that of D. Hays⁶, which go directly from word class to dependency links include in their computer logic most of the rules necessary to an immediate constituency analysis.) The result of a syntactic analysis is a tree structure whose different levels include word class descriptions, phrase names and clause designations.

The dependency analysis of these tree structures is simply a convenient notation that emphasizes one feature of the syntactic analysis. The feature emphasized is the relation of modification or dependency. A word at any node of the dependency tree is directly dependent on another word if and only if there is a single line between the node of the first word and that of the second. For our purpose the strength of dependency notation lies in the fact that it facilitates expression of transitive relations. The precise form that our rules of dependency take was determined empirically; the rules chosen were those that facilitated the selection of answers to questions and the generation of coherent discourse. We have attempted to state those rules as generally as possible in order to allow compatibility with a variety of syntactic analysis systems.

The elements of a sentence in our pilot model were taken to be words. (A more sophisticated model might include dependency relations among morphemes.) The rules of dependency are as follows:

- 1. The head of the main verb phrase of a sentence or clause is dependent upon the head of the subject.
- 2. The head of a direct object phrase is dependent upon the head of the governing verb phrase.
- 3. Objects of prepositions are dependent upon those prepositions.
- 4. Prepositions are dependent upon the heads of the phrases they modify. Prepositions in the predicate of a sentence are dependent upon the head of a verb phrase and also upon the head of an intervening noun phrase if one is present.
- 5. Determiners and adjectives are dependent upon the head of the construction in which they appear.
- 6. Adverbs are dependent upon the head of the verb phrase in which they appear.
- 7. Two-way dependency exists between the head of a phrase and any form of the verb "to be" or the preposition "of." This rule holds for the heads of both phrases linked to these forms.
- 8. Two-way dependency within or across sentences also exists between tokens of the same noun and between a pronoun and its referent.
- 9. Dependencies within a passive sentence are treated as if the sentence were an active construction.
- 10. The head of the subject is dependent upon itself or upon a like token in a preceding sentence.

In both the computer program and the following examples the dependencies are expressed in the form of a list structure. The words in the text are numbered in sequential order; where one word is dependent on another, the address of that other word is stored with it as follows:

0		0
1	John	1
2	eats	1
3	fish	2
4		Δ

A more complex example is the following:

Δ

0	•	0	
1	The	2	Rule 5
2	man	2, 19, 3, 8	Rules 10, 8, 8, and 8
3	who	2	Rule 8
4	has	3	Rule 1
5	the	6	Rule 5
6	book	4, 15	Rule 2, 8
7	rode	2	Rule 1
8	his	9,2	Rules 5, 8

9	bicycle	7	Rule 2
10	in	9, 7	Rules 4, 4
11	the	12	Rule 5
12	afternoon	10	Rule 3
13		13	
14	The	15	Rule 5
15	book	6,17	Rules 8, 9,2
16	was		
17	written	19	Rules 9, 1
18	by		
19	him	19, 2	Rules 10,8
20	in	17, 15	Rules 4,4
21	two	22	Rule 5
22	hours	20	Rule 3
23		23	

The rules for determining the antecedents of pronouns across sentences are not perfect. In general it is assumed that the referent of a pronoun occupies a parallel syntactic function. For this purpose all sentences are treated as if they were active constructions, and special rules for case are also taken into consideration. Nevertheless, the style of some writers will yield constructions that do not fit the rules. In such cases, it is usually only the context of the real world which resolves the problem for live speakers, and sometimes not then, e.g., "The book is on the new table. It is nice."

THE TRANSITIVITY OF DEPENDENCE

The dependency relationships between words in English statements are taken as primitives for our language processing systems. We have hypothesized that the dependency relation is generally transitive; that is, if a is dependent on b, and b is dependent on c, then a is dependent on c. The purpose of the experiment with the coherent discourse generator is to test this hypothesis and to explore its limits of validity.

It was immediately obvious that if dependency were always transitive—for example, across verbs and prepositions—the discourse generator would occasionally construct sentences that were not truth-preserving derivations of the input text. For example, "The man ate soup in the summer" would allow the generation of "The man ate summer" if transitivity were permitted across the preposition. As a consequence of our experimentation, the following rules of non-transitivity were developed:

- 1. No transitivity across verbs except forms of the verb "to be."
- 2. No transitivity across prepositions except "of."
- 3. No transitivity across subordinating conjunctions (if, although, when, where, etc.).

There are no doubt additional exceptions and additional convenient rules of dependency, such as the two-way linkage that we use for "to be" and "of," which will improve the operation of language process-

Δ

ing systems. However, we have noticed that each special rule eliminates some errors and causes others. The problem is very similar to the completeness problem for most interesting systems of formal logic (Gödel¹⁰) in which the unattainable goal is to devise a system in which all and only true theorems are derivable. Gödel proved that one has a choice of obtaining only true theorems but not all true theorems in the system, or all true theorems in the system at the cost of also obtaining false theorems.

The Generation Process

PHRASE STRUCTURE GENERATION OF GRAMMATICAL NONSENSE

The basis for computer generation of grammatically correct nonsense via a phrase structure generation grammar has been available for several years. The program design of the generation grammar used in this system was initially modeled after one developed by Victor Yngve^{13, 14}. The recursive phrase structure formulas that such a grammar uses were first crystallized by Zellig Harris⁴ in 1946. The purpose of the formulas in Harris's formulation, however, was to describe utterances in terms of low-level units combining to form higher-lex-el units. Chomsky¹ later discussed these types of rules in application to the generation of sentences.

The phrase structure generation grammar uses such rules to generate lower-order units from higher-order units. As an example, consider the following short set of rules which are sufficient to generate an indefinitely large number of English sentences, even though the rules themselves account for only a very small portion of the structure of English:

1.
$$N_2 = Art_0 + N$$

2.
$$N_1 = Adj_0 + N_1$$

3.
$$N_1 = N_0$$

4.
$$V_2 = V_1 + N_2$$

5.
$$V_1 = V_0$$

6. $S = N_2 + V_2$

where "Art" stands for article, "Adj" for adjective, "N" for noun phrase, "V" for verb phrase, "S" for sentence. To accomplish the generation, substitution of like form class types is permitted but with such substitution controlled by subscripts. For example, the right half of an N1 formula or an N2 formula could be substituted for an N2, but the right half of an N2 formula could not be substituted for an N1. The use of subscripts is not the only way to control the order of application of formulas. It is a modification of a method used by Yngve¹³ and was suggested as one of several methods by Harris⁴.

In the usual description of a phrase structure generation grammar, left-most entities are expanded first and an actual English word is not substituted for

its class descriptor until the subscript of that class marker reaches a certain minimal value. For example:

S

 $N_2 + V_2$ (rule 6) $Art_0 + N_1 + V_2$ (rule 1)

Here "Art" has a minimal subscript and one may pick an English article at random.

The $+ N_1 + V_2$ The $+ Adj_0 + N_1 + V_2$ (rule 2)

Another zero subscript permits a random choice of an adjective.

The + tall + N_1 + V_2 The tall + Adj_0 + N_1 + V_2 (rule 2)

Note that formula 2 might be applied recursively ad infinitum.

The + tall + dark + N_1 + V_2 The + tall + dark + N_0 + V_2 (rule 3) $The + tall + dark + elephant + V_2$ The + tall + dark + elephant + V_1 + N_2 (rule 4) The + tall + dark + elephant + V_0 + N_2 (rule 5) $The + tall + dark + elephant + eats + N_2$ The + tall + dark + elephant + eats + N_0 (rule 3) The + tall + dark + elephant + eats + rocks

In Yngve's program particular rules were chosen at random, as were vocabulary items.

Agreement of number can be handled in several ways. One could build rules that dealt with individual morphemes rather than word classes as terminal outputs; one might make use of duplex sets of rules for singular and plural constructions accompanied by singular and plural vocabulary lists; or one might have a special routine examine the output of a generation process and change certain forms so that they would agree in number.

Table 1 shows a sample output of the generation grammar which puts only grammatical restrictions on the choice of words. All sentences are grammatically correct according to a simple grammar, but usually nonsensical.

THE GENERATION OF COHERENT DISCOURSE

Description of the System

The basic components of the coherent discourse generator are a phrase structure grammatical nonsense generator which generates randomly and a monitoring system which inspects the process of sentence generation, rejecting choices which do not meet the dependency restrictions.

A source text is selected and analyzed in terms of dependency relations. In the system under discussion this has been accomplished by hand. The vocabulary

COMPUTER-GENERATED GRAMMATICAL NONSENSE

TCBACCO	0\$	THE	POTATOES	INCLUDE	CHOICE				
GENERAL Parket	SUGAR Are plxec	CROPS UPON	UPON CROPS	DIFFERENT	FINANCING	DEPENDS	SYSTEMS	•	
AICE	ENCLUCE	THE	RICE	CAOPS					
BEETS	INCLUCE	SUGAR	MARKET	CROPS	ANE FINED	BEETS	-		
THE	SYSTEMS	ARE MIXED	GENERAL	CLEWATE	GENERAL	BEETS	•		
PEANNTS	INCLUDE ARE PLXED	SUGAR UPCN	CROPS Potatoes	:					
THE CLUBATE	TOPACCO	ARE MIXED	UP04	BEETS	•				
BEANS	IN	GIFFERENT	SYSTEMS	1NCL VOE	MARKET	QF	OKT	GENERAL	DRY
CLEPATE	CANE	ARE MIXED	THE	GENERAL	PEANUTS				
GENERAL Cleferent	POTATCES CASH	DEPENDS	SUGAR Incluce	CANE	OF CATRATE	тие	ÇASH	BEANS	•
THE	FINANCING	INCLUDE	UPON	GENERAL	FARM	DRY	BEETS	. .	
CEFFERENT	CROPS	UPCN	DIFFERENT	FINANCING	INCLUDE	DIFFERENT	SYSTEMS		CHOICE
SOIL	GEPENDS Are pixed	DIFFERENT	FARM	ORY	MARKET	•			
THE PARKET	FARP	SUGAR	50 E L	QF	FARM	<u> </u> ÛRY	CROPS	INCLUDE	UF
PARKET	ARE MIXED	SUGAR	TOBACCO EINANCING			TORACCO			
CRY	RICE	DEPENDS	SYSTEPS		QC NC NAL		-		
DEFFERENT	SCIL	DEPENDS	CASH	CHOICE	OF	TOBACCO	CASH	TOBACCO	•
SLGJR FENANCENG	CROPS ARE PLKEC	OF Choice	CASH	PEANUTS	INCLUDE	MARKET	•		
THE	BEETS	DEPENDS	CANE	s		THE			
DIFFERENT	CHCICE	ARE MIXED	THE	TOBACCO	•	me	PEAROTS	-	
BEETS	INCLUCE	THE GENERAL	BEANS CHOICE	:					
GENERAL The	ERY CASH	TOPACCO	INCLUCE Sugar	THE CROPS	SUGAR LIPON	CASH Cithate	DRY DEPENDS	CHOICE	CASH
GENERAL	CANE	cuater .		fue	C A DM	BEINE	WEI EIIDS		
THE	SCIL	ARE MIXED	THE	SYSTEMS	FARE -	BEANS	•		
DIFFERENT PCTATCES	SYSTEPS CEPENCS	INCLUGE THE	DIFFERENT Beans	CASH UPON	CROPS	CROPS			
FARP	CASH	POTATOES	ARE WIXED	POTATOES	OF	DRY	BEANS	-	
THE	PEANUTS	10	THE	GENERAL	SUGAR	BEFTS	INCLUDE	CASH	CL INATE
F § NANCING	ENCLUCE	SOI1	OF	SUGAR	CROPS				
PARRET Erops	UPCN EN	FARM Clfferent	GENERAL DRY	SOLL POTA TOE S	ARE MEXED GEPENDS	DIFFERENT The	DRY FINANÉING	PEANUTS	-
C+OLCE		DEFFERENT	POTATOES	INCLUDE		AICE	CENERAL		
SCIL	CEPENCS	BEETS	•	CEIMALE	DEFENDS	01-	GENERAL	RICE	•
CANE	DEPENCS	FENANCING							
FARP	RICE	QP .	OTALEKENI	CROPS	DEPENDS	GENERAL	FINANCING	UPON	PEANUS Ş
FARP DAY SUCAR	RICE GENERAL Chcicf	SYSTERS INCLUDE	INGLUCE MARKET	GENERAL	DEPENDS R1CÉ	GENERAL	FINANCING	UPON	PEANUS \$
FARP DRY Slgar Bears	RICE GENERAL Chcice Are piked	SYSTERS INCLUDE THE	ULPFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR	CROPS GENERAL MÅRKET	DEPENDS RICÉ	GENERAL	F I NANC ENG	UPON	PEANUIS
FARP DAY Slgar Bears Ch03Ce General	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ANE MIKEC DEPENDS Systems	SYSTERS INCLUDE The Market IN	DIFFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL	CROPS GENERAL MÄAKET PEANUTS	DEPENDS RICÉ DEPENDS	GENERAL IN	FINANCING CROPS	UPON	PEANUIS
FARP DRY Slgar Beaks Chcice General General Rice	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE MIMEC DEPENDS Systéms Climaia Include	OF SYSTEMS INCLUDE THE MARKET 1M DEPENDS OF	ULFFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MÁRKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE	GENERAL IN	FINANCING CROPS	UPON T	PEAKU1\$
FARP DAY SLGAN BEANS CHCICE GENERAL GENERAL AICE CRCPS SUGAD	RICE General Chcice Are Pixed Depends Systeps Climate Include Cepends Vaakei	OF SYSTERS INCLUCE THE MARKET 1M DEPENDS OF CLEFFERENT CLEFFERENT CLEFFERENT	UIFFERENT INGLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHDICE DIFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MAAKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BLANS	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE	GENERAL IN	FINANCING CROPS	UPON -	PEANUIŞ
FARF DRY SLGAR BEARS GENERAL GENERAL RIGE CRCPS SUGAR SLGAR	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS GLIMAI& INCLUDE DEPENDS PARKEI PEANUTS	OF SYSTEMS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OFFERENT CLFENDS INCLUDE	UIFFERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MAAKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY SLANS GENERAL	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS	GENERAL JH -	FIMANCING CROPS	UPDN -	PEANUIŞ
FARF DAY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE General General Rice Crops Sugar Sugar Sugar Market Choice	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ANE AIKEC DEFENCS SYSTEMS LIMAI& INCLUCE DEFENCS MARKEI PEANUTS INCLUCE ARE AIXEC	OF SYSTEMS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEPENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENDS INCLUDE CALE TOBACCO	UIFFERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHDICE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MÅRKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS	GENERAL IN	FINANCING	UPON -	PEANUS \$
FARP DAY SLGAR BEANJ CHCICE GENERAL GENERAL RICE CRCPS SUGAR VARKET CHCICE CIFFERENT THE	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ANE AIMED DEFENCS SYSTEMS LITMAL& INCLUCE DEFENCS MARKEI PEANUTS INCLUCE ARE AIXEC PEANUTS BEETS	OF SYSTEMS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CLFFERENT CLPENDS INCLUDE CANE TOEACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED	UIFFERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO	CROPS GENERAL MAAKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY SLANS GENERAL OF	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE	GENERAL IN	FINANCING	UPON -	PEARUSS
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL RICE CROPS SUGAR SLGAR PARKET CHOICE CIFFERENT THE SYSTEPS THE	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIREC DEFENDS Systeps CLIMALE INCLUCE DEFENDS MARKEL PEANUTS BEETS GEPENCS GEPENCS GEPENCS	OF SYSTEMS INCLUCE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CLFFERENT CLPENDS INCLUDE CAME TOPACCO ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE	UJFFRENT JMCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFRENT DIFFRENT DIFFRENT CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING OF	CROPS GEMERAL MAAKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY Stans General Of Of Of DF	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS TWE SUGAR	GENERAL IN Potatoes cash	FINANCING CROPS RICE		PEARUSS
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL RICE CROPS SUGAR SLGAR WARKET CHOICE CIFFERENT THE SYSTEPS THE FARM	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEFERUS SYSTEMS LLIMAI& INCLUZE DEFENUS MARKEI PEANUTS DEFENUS CAPENUS PEANUTS PEANUTS PEANUTS PEANUTS	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IM DEFENDS OF CLIFFERENT CLIFFERENT CLIFFERENT CLIFFERENT CLIFFERENT TRELUDE CANE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED CLUDE OF	ULPERENI INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOICE CHOICH	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF OF OF DF BEETS ARE MIXED	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT	GENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FAAM	FINANCING CROPS RICE GANE		PEANUS \$ CROPS
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL RICE CRCPS SUGAR SUGAR VARKET CHOICE CHOICE CHOICE CHOICE SYSTEPS THE SYSTEPS THE SARV BEANS FINARCING	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE FIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITUNE DEFENDS HARKEI PEANUIS BEENS DEFENS BEETS DEPENS PEANUIS DEPENS PANUIS DEPENS ARE FIJEC ARE FIJEC I INCLUEE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CANE TOBACCO ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL CIFFERENT	ULPERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING CANE FARM	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM	GENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FAAM BEANS PEANUTS	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON	UPDN • UPDN THE	PEANUSS GROPS Harket
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL RIGE CROPS SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CHFFERENT THE SYSTEPS THE FARP BEANS FINANCING TCBACCO RICE	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITANIE INCLUDE DEFENDS MARKEI PEANUTS BEETS CLPENDS PEANUTS BEETS CLPENDS PEANUTS BEETS CLPENDS PCATCES ARE PIJEC ARE PIJEC ARE PIJEC ARE PIJEC	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED CAE GF GCNERAL CIFFERENT THE	ULFFRENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE UIFFRENT CHOICE UIFFRENT CHOPS CROPS CROPS CANE FINANCING CANE CROPS CLIFATE	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON	UPDN - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	CROPS HARKET
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CHOFERENT THE SYSTEPS THE FINANCING COACCO RICE FINANCING	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS LITANI& INCLUDE DEPENDS MARKEI PEANUTS DEPENDS DEPENDS PEANUTS DEPENDS PCTATCES ARE PIJEC DEPENDS PCTATCES ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS	OF SYSTERS INCLUCE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CAME TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF GARERAL OF	ULFFRENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFRENT CHOICE DIFFRENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINAMCING OF FINAMCING CANPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTERS	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH POTATOES DEPENDS	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM	GENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FAAM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DE	FIMANCING CROPS RICE GANE UPON	UPDN - UPDN THE PEANIITS	DE ANU I S GROPS HARKE T
FARP DRY SLGAA BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAA SUGAA SUGAA SUGAA SUGAA CHOICE Choice Ciffereret The State Financing Choice Carco Financing Choice Carco Financing Choice Corc	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS CLIMAI& DEPENDS HARKEI PEANUTS DEPENDS DEPENDS PEANUTS DEPENDS PCTAICES ARE PIJEC INCLUE ARE PIJEC OF SYSTEPS INCLUE	OF SYSTERS INCLUCE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CANE TUBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF OF AREAL OF	ULFFRENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINAMCING CANPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEMS	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS BEANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH · · POTATOES DEPENDS CENT-·	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLI	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH BEANS PEANUTS CANE DF	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT	UPDN - UPDN THE PEANUTS	CROPS HARKET
FARP DRY SLGAA BEAAJ CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAA SLGAA VARKET CHOICE CIFFERENT THE SYSTEPS THÉ BEANS BEANS FINANCING CROFS FINANCING CROFS RICCE ICEACCO	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEFENDS SYSTEMS LITANI& DEFENDS VARKEI PEAMUTS DEFIS DEFIS DEFIS DEFIS DEFIS DEFIS OF ANUTS PEAMUTS DEFIS DEFIS OF ARE #JJEC INCLUCE INCLUCE OF SYSTEMS INCLUCE DEFNDS DEFNDS	OF SYSTERS INCLUCE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CIFFERENT ICLIDE CANE TORACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE CIFFERENT THE UPCN GENERAL OF RICE IN POTATOES	ULFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINANCING CANE FARM SYSTEPS ULFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH DPOTATOES DEPENDS GENERAL	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FAAN BEANS PEANUTS CAME OF	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT	UPDN • UPDN THE PEANUTS	CROPS HARKET -
FARP DRY SLGAA BEAAJ GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR MARCE CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARM BEANS FINANCING CR DFY CR DFS RICE SICESS	RICE GENERAL CHCICE AME #JJEC DEPENDS SYSTEMS LITANIE DEPENDS MARKEI PEAMUTS DEPENDS PEAMUTS DEPENDS PEAMUTS DEPENDS PEAMUTS TRILUEE ARE #JIEC DEPENDS OF SYSTEMS INCLUDE DEPENDS CEPENDS CROPS DEAMS	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CARE NICEUDE CARE NICEUDE OF INCLUDE OF CARE NICE INCLUDE SERENT THE UPCN SERENT OF NICE INCLUCE	ULFFRENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING OF FINANCING CANE FARM CCOPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEPS DIFFERENT IN CENERAL	CROPS GENERAL MAAKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF GF GF GF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH POTATOES DEPENDS GENERAL CRSY CASH	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOIL CANE DRY	GENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CAME OF	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIPFERENT CLIMATE	UPDN • UPDN THE PEANUTS	CKOPS HARKET
FARM DAY SLGAR BEAAS BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR VAREA CROPS SUGAR VAREA CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FINANCING FINANCING FINANCING CANS FINANCING CRY FINANCINANCINANCINANCINANCINANCINANCINAN	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEPERDS SYSTEMS LLTMAI& DEPENDS FANUTS DEPENDS MARKEI PEANUTS DEFIS OLPENDS PEANUTS DEFIS OLPENDS ARE MIXED RCLATCES ARE MIXED SYSTEMS DESUSS COPENDS DESUSS DESUSS DESUSS DEFIS DEFIS SYSTEMS DEFIS D	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CLFFERENT LEFENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF CLFFERENT THE SCHERAL OF CLFFERENT THE SCHERAL OF CLFFERENT THE SCHERAL OF CLFFERENT THE SCHERAL OF CLFFERENT THE SCHERAL OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT OIFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING CROPS CANE FARM CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR SUSTEMS	CROPS GENERAL MAAKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL GF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH POTATOES DEPENDS GENERAL CRY CASH GENERAL	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR SUGAR	GENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES NABKET	FIMANCING CROPS RICE GANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE	UPDN • UPDN THE PEANUTS •	CKOPS HARKET -
FARP DAY SLGAR SLGAR GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CROPS SUGAR CHOICE CHOICE CHOICE FINANCING COPY CHOPS FINANCING CHY CHOPS FINANCING CHY CANE CANE	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE FIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITULUTE DEFENDS HARKEI PEANUTS DEFENDS BEETS DEFENDS ARE FIJEC ARE FI	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENDS OF CLFFERENT LLFENDS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF R ILCE IN POTATOES ARE MIXED SOIL	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CROPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS C	CROPS GENERAL MÄRKET PEANUTS NEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL THE	DEPENOS RICE J DEPENOS CÂNE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOIL CANE DRY SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUIS CAME OF FARM POJATOES MARKET DEPENDS	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE	UPDN • UPDN THE PEANUTS • SYSSILMS	CKOPS HARKET
FARP DAY SLGAR SLGAR BEAAS HIGE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR TOJCE CROPS FLNANCING CPACCO FLNANCING CPACCO FLNANCING CPACCO FLNANCING CPACCO FLNANCING CPACCO SYSTEMS CASP SYSTEMS CLASP SYSTEMS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITULUE DEFENDS HARKEI PEANUIS BEETS DEPENDS DEPENDS FOTATOES ARE PIJEC GE RE PIJEC SYSTEPS INCLUE RE PIJEC SYSTEPS INCLUE SYSTEPS INCLUE EEPENDS DEPEND	SYSTERS SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IM DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE GRERAL OF RICE IN POTADES ARE MIXED INCLUCE UPCN ARE MIXED SOIL SUGA	ULPERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CROPS COPS COPS COPS CLIPATE SUGAR SUSTEMS DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE OF MARKET	CROPS GENERAL MÄRKET PEANUTS AEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN POTATOES DEPENDS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL THE UPDN	DEPENDS RICE CANE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR MARKET CRDPS	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANUTS CAME OF FARM POTATOES MARKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIPFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF	UPDN UPDN THE PEANUTS SYS)LM5 DJFFEACNT	PEANUIS CKOPS Harket In Market
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CHOPS THE SYSTEPS THE SYSTEPS THE FINANCING TCBACCO FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE SYSTEPS CASE CASE SYSTEPS CASE SYSTEPS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITANIE DEFENDS MARKEI PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS ARE PIJEC ARE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CANE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED TFE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF RICE IN POTAJOES ARE MIXED SOLUCE VPCN ARE MIXED SOLUCE SUGAR	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE ULPERENT CHOICE ULPERENT DIFFERENT CROPS CROPS CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR SUSTENS ULFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE OF MARKET THE BEETS	CROPS GENERAL MÁRKET PEANUTS DEF BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN OFATOES DEPENDS GENERAL GENERAL CASH GENERAL THE UPDN SOLL INCLUDE	DEPENOS RICE DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT PARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES MARKET DE ARE MIXED CASH	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP OF CROPS	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYS)LM5 DJFFERCNT	CROPS HARKET - IM HARKET
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CASE FLNAKCING COASE FLNAKCING COASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE FLNAKCING CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LLTMAI& INCLUDE DEFENDS MARKEI PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS DEPENDS DEF	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF RICE IN POTATOSS ARE MIXED SUGA CEPRODS SYSTEMS	ULFFERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE ULFFERENT CHOICE ULFFERENT ULFFERENT CROPS CROPS CROPS CROPS CROPS CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE OF MARKET THE DEFTS ARE MIXED	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL THE VPON SOLL INCLUDE OF	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FAAM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES MAAKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIPFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYSJEMS DIFFERENT	CROPS HARKET - LM MARKET
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CASS FURANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS FINANCING CASS CASS CASS CASS CASS CASS CASS CAS	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEFENDS SYSTEPS LITULUE DEFENDS PEANUTS DEFENDS DEFENDS PCATICES ARE PIJEC SYSTEPS ARE PIJEC ARE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLFENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED CIFFERENT THE CIFFERENT THE CIFFERENT THE SUGA ARE MIXED SUGA SYSTEMS INCLUDE SYSTEMS INCLUDE SYSTEMS	ULFFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINANCING CANE FINANCING CANE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CONSTRUCTION CONSTR	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL THE SOLI INCLUDE OF	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL DRY SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM PEANUTS CAME OF ARM POTATOES NAPKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATC FARP DF CROPS	UPDN UPDN THE PEANUTS SYSSLMS DJFFERCNT	CROPS HARKET - In Market
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CCPS THE CASPE FINANCING CASA FINANCING CASA FINANCING CASA CONCE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CA	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEPENDS SYSTEMS CLITANI& DEPENDS SYSTEMS CLITANI& DEPENDS PEANUTS DEPEND	OF SYSTERS INCLUCE THE MARKET IN DEPENDS OF CIFFERENT CLFENDS INCLUDE CAAE TUBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE CIFFERENT THE CIFFERENT THE CIFFERENT CIFFERENT CIFFERENT SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO FINAMCING OF FINAMCING CANE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULPERENT INCLUE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULFFERENT INCLUE SUGAR SYSTEMS CANE ARE MIXED CANE MARKET CANE MARKET CANE	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF DF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOIL CANE SUGAR SUGA	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH CASH CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE DF CANE CANE DF CANE CANE CANE CANE CANE CANE CANE CANE	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP OF CROPS FIMANCING	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYSSLMS DJFFEACNT DEPENOS	CROPS HARKET LH MARKET SDIL
FARF DAY SLGAR BEAAS BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL CHOICE CRCP3 SUGAR PARKET CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARS FINANCING CANE CA	RICE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEPENDS SYSTEMS CLIMAI& DEPENDS SYSTEMS CLIMAI& DEPENDS FARMUTS DEPENDS DEPENDS GENENDS CREPS BEAMS INCLUCE ARE #JJEC INCLUCE ARE #JJEC INCLUCE SYSTEMS INCLUCE DEPENDS DEPENDS DEPENDS DEPENDS BEAMS INCLUCE FARMUTS DEPENDS DEPENDS DEPENDS DEPENDS BEAMS SUGAR BESTS CASH	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CLFFERENT LLFENDS INCLUDE CAAE MIXED THE INCLUDE GENERAL OF CLFFERENT THE SUGAR CLFFERENT SUGAR CEFFNOS CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH SYSTENS THE CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CEFFNOS CASH	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE GIPFERENT GIPFERENT DIFFERENT DIFFERENT GROPS CLIFATE SUGAR SUSTEPS SUSTEPS SUSTEPS ULFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE OF MARKET THE BEETS ARE MIXED CÂNY MARKET CANY MARKET CANY	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOIL CANE DEP SUGAR SU SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUG	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM PCANUTS CANE OF FARM PCANUTS CANE OF FARM PCATOES MARKET DEPENDS ARE WIXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR GROPS	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS FIMANCING INCLUDE	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYS)LM5 DIFFEACNT DEPENOS CASH	CROPS HARKET - In MarkET SDIL SUGAR
FARF EAY SLGAR BEAAS BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL CHOICE CRCP3 SUGAR MARCING CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARS FINANCING CRY FINANCING CRY FINANCING CRY FINANCING CRY SYSTEPS CROSS CRY CROSS CRY CROSS CONC CRY CROSS CRY CRSS CRY CRSS CRY CRSS CRY CRSS CRY CRSS CRY CROSS CRY CRY CRY CRY CRY CRY CRY CRY	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEPERDS SYSTEMS LLTMAI& DEPENDS SYSTEMS LINCLUTE DEPENDS HARKI PEANUTS DEPENDS GEPENDS GEPENDS GEPENDS BEANS CASH TCLUEE ARE PIXEC ARE PIXEC COPENDS BEANS CREAS BEANS CREAS BEANS CEPENDS BEANS CEPENDS BEANS CEPENDS BEANS CEPENDS BEANS CEPENDS CEPENDS BEANS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CASH CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEPENDS CEASH CEPENDS CEPENDS CESS CEPENDS CESS CESS CESS CESS CESS CESS CESS CE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CLFFERENT LEFENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF RICE IN ARE MIXED THE UPCN CLFFERENT THE SUGA ARE MIXED SUGA CASE SUGA CASE SYSTENS IN CASE SYSTENS IN CASE SYSTENS IN CASE SYSTENS IN CASE SYSTENS IN CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE CASE SYSTENS IN CASE C	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE GENERAL THE DIFFERENT OIFFERENT OIFFERENT OFFERENT OFFERENT CROPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS C	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS REETS DRY BEANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH	DEPENOS RICE I DEPENOS CÂME PÊ ANUTS THE SUGAR DI FFÉRENY PARM FARM FARM FARM FARM FARM FARM FARM F	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CANE OF ARE MORTS CANE OF FARM POTATOES NARKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR CROPS FARM	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS * FINANCING INCLUDE SOLL	UPDN UPDN THE PEANUTS SYSSILMS DJFFERCNT DEPENOS CASH INCLUDE	CKOPS WARKET - SOIL SUGAR ORY
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL CROSS SUGAR VARKET CHOICE CHOFERENS THE FARM BEANS TOBACCO CASH THE CASH THE CASH CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS CHOFS THE CASH CHOFS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEFENDS SYSTEMS LITULUTE DEFENDS FYRANDIS INCLUTE PEANUIS DEFENDS DEFENDS DEFENDS ARE MIXEC ARE MIXEC CEPENDS DEFENDS DE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CLFFRENT CLFFRENT ICFFRENT ICFFRENT ICFFRENT ICFFRENT ICLUDE OF ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED OF RICE IN POTAJOES ARE MIXED SUGA REFTS THE GENERAL CEFFENOS CASH SYSTEMS IM REFTS THE GENERAL	ULPERENT INCLUDE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE DIFFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CROPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS COPS C	CROPS GENERAL MÄRKET PEANUTS NEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH	DEPENOS RICE I DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOIL CANE DRY SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR THE CROPS	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CANE OF POTATOES MARKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR CROPS FARM	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATC FARP DF CROPS FINANCING INCLUDE SOIL	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYSSILMS DJFFEACNT DEPENOS CASH INCLUDE	CKOPS WARKET - IN MARKET SOIL SUGAR DRY
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARP BEAAS FINANCING CBASCO FINANCING CBASCO THE FARP CASE CAS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEFENDS SYSTEMS LITULUE DEFENDS FARMUTS BEETS GENUTS BEETS GEPENDS FANUTS BEETS GEPENDS CAPE MIXEC ARE MIXEC SYSTEMS INCLUCE ARE MIXEC ARE MIXEC CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CAS	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF RICE IN POTAJOES ARE MIXED IN COS SOIL SUGAR EFPEROS CASH OF EFFENOS CAS	ULPERENT JACLUDE MARKET SUGAR JEFERENT CHOICE DIFFERENT DIFFERENT DIFFERENT CROPS COPS COPS COPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEMS DIFFERENT IN CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEMS DIFFERENT IN CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR SYSTEMS DIFFERENT IN CROPS CLIPATE SUGAR THE CLIPATE SUGAR THE CANE MARKET RICE THE IN	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH CASH CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL TACLUDE OF JN OIFFERENT OF SUGAR FIRANCING	DEPENDS RICE CANE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT PARM FARM FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR SUG	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES MARKET DEANUTS ARE WEXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR CROPS FARM TOPACCO	FINANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIPFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS FINANCING INCLUDE SOIL IN	UPDN UPDN THE PEANUTS SYS)LM5 DJFFEACNT DLPENOS CASH INCLUDE THE	CROPS HARKET IN HARKET SULL SUGAR ORY SUGAR
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARP BEANS FINANCING CASE FINANCING CASE THE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CAS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEFENDS SYSTEMS LLTMAI& INCLUDE DEFENDS MARKEI PEANUTS BEETS GENENS REPISE ARE #JJEC ARE MIXES BEETS GEPENDS PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS DEFEN	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF NO CIFFERENT THE SUGAR DEFENOS CASH DEFENOS	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE ULPERENT CHOICE ULPERENT OFFERENT CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE CADE SUGAR SUSTENS ULFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE ULFATE SUGAR THE CADE MARKET THE NARKET RICE THE IN DEPENCS	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEF BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL TMELUDE OF JN OIFFERENT OF SUGAR FINANCING BEETS	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT PARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR SU SUGAR SU SU SUGAR SU SUGAR SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES MARKET DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR GROPS FARM TOBACCO	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS FIMANCING INCLUDE SOIL IN	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYS)LMS DJFFERCNT DLPENOS CASH INCLUDE THE	CROPS HARKET - IN HARKET SOIL SUGAR ORY SUGAR
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR THE SUGAR CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARP BEANS FINANCING TOBACCO FINANCING TOBACCO FINANCING CAS FINANCING CAS SUSTEPS THE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE THE THE CASE	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS LITULUE DEPENDS FARMUTS BEETS GENERS REPISE GEPENDS PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS PEANUTS BEETS GEPENDS DEPENDS	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLPENDS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF GENERAL OF RICE IN POTATOES ARE MIXED INCLUDE UPCN GEAERAL OF SUGAR CEPENDS CASH OF SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CETS CEPENDS CASH OF SYSTEMS THE GENERAL IN CHCLCE BETS CHCLCE BETS CHCLCE BETS CHCLCE BETS CHCLCE	ULPERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE ULPERENT CHOICE ULPERENT ULPERENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE CADE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULPERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE ULFERENT IN MARKET THE CANE MARKET RICE THE DEPENCS RICE DIFFERENT	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEF BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF DF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL THE JN DIFFERENT OF SUGAR FINANCING BEETS OF SUGL	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT PAAM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR NARKET CROPS THE CASH GENERAL DRY THE CROPS INCLUEE CASH	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM BEANS PEANUTS CAME DF FARM POTATOES MARKT DEPENDS ARE MIXED CASH CLIMATE SUGAR CROPS FARM TOBACCO FINANCING GENERAL	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS FIMANCING INCLUDE SOIL IN RICE	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYSILMS DIFFERENT DEPENOS CASH INCLUDE THE	CROPS MARKET - IN MARKET SOIL SUGAR ORY SUGAR
FARP DAY SLGAR BEAAS CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARP DEANS FINANCING TOBACCO FINANCING TOBACCO FINANCING CAS FINANCING CAS FINANCING CAS FINANCING CAS SUSFERENT CAS SUSFERENT CAS SUSFERENT CAS SUSFERENT SUSFEREN	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE PIJEC DEPENDS SYSTEPS LITULUE DEPENDS FANUTS DEPENDS D	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CIFFERENT CLFENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED THE INCLUDE OF RICE IN POTATOES ARE MIXED CIFFERENT THE CFERENT SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CETS CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CETS CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL IN CHOICE BETTS CEPENDS CASE IN CHOICE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CHOICE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CHOICE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE GENERAL CHOICE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR CEPENDS CASE SYSTEMS THE SUGAR SYSTEMS SUGAR SYSTEMS SUS SUS SUS SUS SUS SUS SUS SUS SUS S	ULFFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE ULFFERENT CHOICE ULFFERENT ULFFERENT CHOPS TOBACCO FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE FINANCING CADE CADE SUGAR SYSTEMS ULFFERENT IN CENERAL SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE DEFERENT THE SUGAR THE DEFERENT SUGAR THE SUGAR THE DEFERENT SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR THE SUGAR SYSTEMS SUFFERENT SUGAR SUFFERENT SUFFERENT SUGAR SUFFERENT SUGAR SUFFERENT SUGAR SUFFERENT SUFF SUFF SUFF SUFFERENT SUF	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS DEF BEETS DRY BLANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL INCLUDE OF JN OF SULL JN OF SULATS GENERAL INCLUDE OF SULATS SULATS SULATS SULATS SULATS	DEPENOS RICE I DEPENOS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FAAM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SUGAR SUGAR NARKET CANE DRY SUGAR SUGAR NARKET CASH CASH ARE MIXED DF	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH FARM DEANUTS CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME DF CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME DF CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATE FARP DF CROPS FIMANCING INCLUDE SOIL IN RICE SYSTEM	UPON UPON THE PEANUTS SYSSLMS DIFFERENT DEPENOS CASH INCLUDE THE	CROPS HARKET - SOIL SUGAR DRY SUGAR
FARP DRY SLGAR BEAA3 CHOICE GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL CHOICE CAPS SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR SUGAR CHOICE CIFFERENT THE FARP BEANS FINANCING TCBACCO TIFE FINANCING COPS THE FINANCING COPS THE FINANCING COPS THE CANE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CAS	RILE GENERAL CHCICE ARE #JJEC DEPENDS SYSTEMS LITULUE DEPENDS WARKEI PEANUTS DEFENDS DEFENDS DEFENDS DEFENDS CALUE ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC ARE WIXEC CASH DEFENDS DEPE	OF SYSTERS INCLUDE THE MARKET IN DEFENOS OF CLFFRENT CLFFRENT TCLFENOS INCLUDE CAAE TOBACCO ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED ARE MIXED CFFRENT THE CFFRENT THE CFFRENT THE SFARAL OF NCLUDE SUGA ARE MIXED SUGA CASE OF SUGA CASE SYSTENS THE CFFRENT SUGA CASE SYSTENS THE CFFRENT CFFRENT CFFRENT SUGA CASE SYSTENS THE CFFRENT	ULFFERENT INCLUCE MARKET SUGAR GENERAL THE GENERAL THE DIFFERENT GENERAL THE CROPS TOBACCO FIRANCING CROPS TOBACCO FINANCING CANE FINANCING CANE GENERAL SUGAR SYSTEMS ULFFERENT IN CEMENAL SUGAR THE DIFFERENT IN CANE MARKET THE NARET CANE MARKET THE IN MARKET THE IN DEFENES RICE DIFFERENT IN CEPENES RICE DIFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT IN COFFERENT COFFERENT IN CO	CROPS GENERAL MARKET PEANUTS BEETS DRY SLANS GENERAL OF BEETS ARE MIXED IN CASH GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL GENERAL INCLUDE OF SUCAR FINANCING BEETS OF SUCAR FINANCING BEETS OF	DEPENDS RICE DEPENDS CANE PEANUTS THE SUGAR DIFFERENT FARM FARM INCLUDE FINANCING SOLL CANE DRY SUGAR NARKET CANE CANE CANE CANE CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH CASH	CENERAL IN POTATOES CASH PEANUTS CASH PEANUTS CAME OF CAME OF CAME OF CAME OF CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME CAME CASH CLIMATE SUGAR CROPS FARM TOPACCO FINANCING GENERAL CAME	FIMANCING CROPS RICE CANE UPON CIFFERENT CLIMATC FARP DF CROPS FIMANCING INCLUDE SOIL IN RICE SYSTEMS	UPDN UPDN THE PEANUTS SYSILMS DIFFERCNT DIPLNOS CASH INCLUDE THE	CROPS HARKET - SDIL SUGAR ORY SUGAR

of the source text is placed in the vocabulary pool of the phrase structure nonsense generator. The process of generation is then initiated. Each time an English word is selected, the monitoring system checks to see if the implied dependency relations in the sentence being generated match the dependency relations in the source text. When no match is observed, the monitoring system either selects a new word or aborts the process of generation and starts over.

One of the requirements for matching is that the dependencies must refer to particular tokens of words. For example, given a text such as:

"The man works in a store.

The man sleeps in a bed."

if "in" is determined to be dependent on "works," it is only the token of "in" in the first sentence that is dependent on "works." Similarly, having selected this particular token of "in," it is only "store" that is dependent on it. In the second sentence "bed" is dependent on another token of "in." Were it not for this restriction it would be possible to generate sentences such as "The man works in a bed."

The phrase structure generator in this system differs from the type described in the preceding section in one important way: the English vocabulary items are chosen as soon as a class name appears, regardless of subscript value. This permits a hierarchical selection of English words, i.e., the heads of constructions are selected first. Also, the generation process builds a tree; by selecting English words immediately, the words whose dependency relations are to be monitored are always at adjacent nodes in the tree when the monitoring takes place. If the English words are selected at a later time the problem of determining dependency becomes more complex, especially if the words involved in a direct dependency relation have become separated by other items.

For example:

S $N_2 + V_2$ $N_2 + V_2$ Cats eat $Adj_0 + N_1 + V_2$ Tall cats eat $Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_1 + V_2$ Tall black cats eat

Note that "tall" is no longer adjacent to "cats."

 $\begin{array}{ll} Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_0 + V_2 \\ Tall & black & cats & eat \end{array}$

Because the English word has already been selected, a zero subscript means only that this item can be expanded no further.

 $\begin{array}{lll} Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_0 + V_1 + N_2 \\ Tall & black & cats & eat & fish \\ Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_0 + V_0 + N_2 \\ Tall & black & cats & eat & fish \\ Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_0 + V_0 + Adj_0 + N_1 \\ Tall & black & cats & eat & stale & fish \\ \end{array}$

Note the separation of "eat" and "fish" which are in a dependency relation.

This example should make it clear that the monitoring of dependencies is greatly facilitated if the English words are chosen as early as possible. There is also another advantage to early selection. It permits the determination of heads of phrases before attributes. Note in the preceding example that the main subject and verb of the sentence were selected first. In a system which generates randomly, this yields a faster computer program. Consider an alternative. If one were to start with

 $\begin{array}{l} Adj_0 + Adj_0 + N_0 + V_0 + N_2 \\ Tall & black & cats \end{array}$

and be unable to find a verb dependent on "cats," the entire sentence would have to be thrown out. Then the computation involved in picking adjectives dependent on "cats" would have been wasted.

Detailed Analysis of the Generation Process

The best way to explain the process of generation is to examine a sentence actually generated by the computer, along with its history of derivation which was also a computer output. The experiment on which this paper is based used as an input the following segment of text from page 67 of Compton's Encyclopedia² which was hand analyzed in terms of dependency relations,

"Different cash crops are mixed in the general farm systems. They include tobacco, potatoes, sugar beets, dry beans, peanuts, rice, and sugar cane. The choice of one or more depends upon climate, soil, market..., and financing."

The word "opportunities" occurred after market in the original text and was deleted because it contained more than 12 letters. This deletion results from a format limitation of a trivial nature; it can easily be overcome although it was not thought necessary to do so in the pilot experiment.

The text analyzed in terms of dependency is contained in Table 2. The vocabulary of the phrase structure nonsense generator, sorted according to grammatical category, is contained in Table 3. The grammar rules listed in the format of their weighted probability of selection are contained in Table 4. Each class of rule—noun phrase rule, verb phrase rule, etc.—has ten slots allotted to it. Probability weighting was achieved by selected repetitions of various rules. Inspection of Table 4 will show the frequency with which each rule is represented.

Consider the generation of an actual sentence as accomplished by a computer. The program starts each sentence generation with:

 $N_4 + V_4$ as the sentence type.

In our example,

 $N_4 + V_4$ Choice

a verb dependent on "choice" is now selected.

TABLE 2

DEPENDENCY ANALYSIS OF SOURCE TEXT

Sequence	Word	Dependency
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Different cash crops are mixed in the general farm systems	0 3 3 5, 12 5 3, 5 10 10 10 6 11
12	They	3,12,34,36
13	tobacco	12
15 16 17	, potatoes	15 13 17
18	, sugar	19
20	Deels	20
21	dry	22
22	beans	13
24	, peanuts	13
25 26	, rice	25
20	and	27
28	sugar	29
29 30	cane	13
31	The	32
32	choice	33,32
33 34	of	32,34,36
35	or	35,12
36	more	33, 12
37	depends	32
38	upon	37
40	cimate	58 40
41	soil	38
42	,	42
43	market	38
45	and	45
46	financing	38
47		47

TABLE 3

VOCABULARY POOL

Token	Word Class
CANE CHOICE CLIMATE SOIL MARKET FINANCING BEANS PEANUTS BEETS CHOPS SYSTEMS TOBACCO RICE POTATOES	N (noun)
ARE MIXED INCLUDE DEPENDS	V (verb)
CASH GENERAL FARM DRY SUGAR	ADJ (adjective)
IN UPON	(preposition)
THE DIFFERENT	ART (article)

N ₄ + Choice	V ₄ include		
N ₂ + Choice	Mod_1	+	V ₄ include

The N_4 of the preceding step was expanded by selection of rule (3) Table 4.

N_0	+	Mod_1	+	V_4
Choice				include

By selection of rule 4, Table 4. Now the Mod_1 remains to be expanded since it is the leftmost entity with a subscript greater than zero.

N ₀ Choice	+	Prep ₀	+	N_2	+	V ₄ include
N ₀ Choice	+	Prep ₀ in	+	N_2	+	V ₄ include
"In" is dep	enden	t on choice.				
N ₀ Choice	+	Prep ₀	+	N ₂ system	+	V ₄

Choice	111		syste	1115	merude
"Systems" i	s dependent o	n ''in.''			
N ₀	+ Prep ₀	+	N_0	+	V_4
Choice	in		syste	ms	include

GENERATION GRAMMAR RULES

Rule No.	Formula	Rule No.	Formula	Rule No.	Formula
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ = ART_0 + N_1 = ART_0 + N_1 = ART_0 + N_1 = ADJ_0 + N_1 = ADJ_0 + N_1 = N_2 + MOD_1 = N_2 + MOD_1 = N_0 = N_0 = N_0 = V_1 + N_2 = V_1 + N_2 $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$= V_{1} + N_{2}$ = V_{1} + N_{2} = V_{2} + MOD_{1} = V_{2} + MOD_{1} = V_{2} + MOD_{1} = V_{0} = V_{0} = V_{0} DD_{1} = PREP_{0} + N_{2} DD_{1} = PREP_{0} + N_{2}	8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9	$\begin{array}{l} MOD_1 = PREP_0 \ + \ N_2 \\ MOD_1 = REP_0 \ + \ N_2 \$

 N_2 reduced to N_0 by rule (4) Table 4. The V_4 now remains to be expanded.

N ₀ + Choice	Prep ₀ in	+	N ₀ + systems	N
			V_1 + include	N ₂
N ₀ + Choice	Prep ₀ in	+	N ₀ + systems	
			V_1 + include	N ₂ cane

"Cane" is dependent on "include".

N ₀ + Choice	Prep ₀ in	+	N ₀ syste	N ₀ + systems		
			V ₀ inclu	+ ide	N ₀ cane	

And finally (two steps involved here) all zero subscripts by rules 4 and 7 of Table 4.

Table 5 contains 102 sentences generated by the coherent discourse generator using as input the analyzed paragraph (Table 2). For comparison Table 1 contains the output of the same system, except for the dependency monitoring routine.

Comments on Linguistic Methodology of the Program

The grammar used in the pilot model of this program is an extremely simple one. The parts of speech (Table 3) include only article, noun, verb, adjective, and preposition. The grammatical rules used are a tiny subset of those necessary to account for all of English. Accordingly, the hand analysis of the vocabulary into parts of speech required a number of forced choices. Namely, "different" was classified as an article, and "farm" and "sugar" were classified as adjectives. A larger grammar including several adjective classes would permit more adequate classification.

An interesting strategy has been developed for the handling of intransitive verbs. As noted above, the system does not distinguish between transitive and intransitive verbs. The running program demands that an attempt be made to find a direct or indirect object for every verb. Only if no such object is found to be dependent on the verb in the source text is the generation of a verb with no object permitted. In effect, a bit of linguistic analysis involving the source text is done at the time of generation.

A system to automatically perform dependency analysis on unedited text is currently being developed. Part of it (a system which performs a phrase structure analysis of English text) is completely programmed and operative on the IBM 7090. A system for converting the phrase structure analysis into a dependency analysis is currently being programmed.

Theoretical Discussion

What is the significance of the transitivity of dependency? Granted, our rules for manipulating dependency to generate coherent discourse can be viewed as a clever engineering technique. However, we feel that the transitive nature of dependency is of greater theoretical significance. A language is a model of reality. To the extent that it is a good model, its speakers are able to manipulate it in order to draw valid inferences about reality. The rules of dependency are part of a model of language, a model which is in turn a secondorder model of reality. The value of any model is determined by the truth of its predictions. In this case the value of our transitive dependency model is determined by the validity of the output of the coherent discourse generator in terms of its input.

If we have uncovered some of the mechanisms involved in the logical syntax of English,* then dependency is a primitive for our model of that system, and the rules about its transitivity and intransitivity are axioms. Whether or not concepts of transitive dependency might be important components in logical-syntactic models of other languages can be tested easily.

* We have made no attempt to deal with conditionals or negation in the present experiment.

COMPUTER-GENERATED COHERENT DISCOURSE

CASH Clifate	CROPS	14	THE	FARM	GENERAL	FARM	SYSTEM5	DEP END 5	UPON
CROPS DIFFERENT	ENCLUDE Cash	TOBACCO CROPS	INCLUDE	TOBACCO	-				
DIFFERENT	CASH	CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	MARKET	•			
CROPS	LN	SYSTEMS	DEPENDS	UPON	SOIL				
CH01CE CH01CE	INCLUDE	TOBACCO	:						
CROPS	DEPENDS	LIPON	MARKET	•					
CROPS DIFFERENT	CROPS	INCLUDE	BEETS	:					
CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE	•					
DIFFERENT	CASH	CHOICE	IN	GENERAL	SYSTEMS	DEPENDS	UPON	CL EMATE	•
CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE REANUTS	TOBACCO	•					
CHOICE	INCLUDE	BEETS							
CHOICE	CHOICE	DEPENDS UPON	UP 0N 5011	MARKET	•				
CASH	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	FENANCING					
DIFFERENT	CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	MARKET	RELE .	-			
THE	CASH	CROPS	1N UPON	SYSTEMS	INCLUDE	BEETS	•		
CROPS	INCLUDE	SUGAR	BEETS		-				
DEFFERENT CHOICE	CHOICE	INCLUDE Brce	SUGAR	CANE	•				
CROPS	INCLUDE	PEANUTS	•						
CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE	UPON BEETS	MARKET	•				
DEFFERENT	CHOICE	INCLUDE	SUGAR	CANE	•				
THE	CASH	CHOICE	IN	THE	SYSTEMS	DEPENDS	UPON	FINANCING	
CROPS	IN	SYSTEMS	INCLUDE	SUGAR	LANE	•			
THE	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	MARKET	-				
CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE DEPENDS	PEANUTS UPON	รด้าน					
DIFFERENT	CROPS	INCLUDE	CANE	•	-				
CHOICE	INCLUDE	CANE	:						
CROPS	IN	THE	SYSTEMS	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE	•		
CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	SOL	UP ON	FINANCING	•			
THE	CASH	CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	SOIL URDN	E IMANC INC			
DIFFERENT	CROPS	INCLUDE	DRY	BEANS		7 10002 100	•		
CHOICE	INCLUDE	SUGAR Include	CANE	:					
DIFFERENT	CASH .	CHOICE	INCLUDE	SUGAR	CANE	•			
DIFFERENT	CHOICE	INCLUDE	PEANUTS		FUNANCENS	•			
CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE	e inc					
CASH	CHOICE	OEPENDS	UPON	MARKET	:				
CASH	CHOICE	IN UPON	GENERAL CLIMATE	SYSTEMS	INCLUDE	ORY	BEANS	•	
THE	CASH	CROPS	20/3930	UPON	CLIMATE				
CASH CHOICE	CHOICE Include	INCLUDE	RICE Cane	:					
CASH	CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	MARKET					
CHOICE	IN DEPENDS	THE	SYSTEMS HARKET	DEPENDS	VPON	CLIMATE	•		
DIFFERENT	CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE	•				
CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	SOIL	:					
DIFFERENT	CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE	PEANUTS	-1				
CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE	POTATOES	-	F 46.0	3131643	DEFENDS	UPON	CL (MATE
THE CROPS	CROPS	INCLUDE	RICE	•					
C8083	INCLUCE	TOBACCO		-					
CHOICE	LNCLUDE	PEANUTS	SOIL	•					
THE	CASH	CROPS	INCLUDE	PEANUTS	•				
CHDICE	DEPENDS	UPCN	GLIPATE	:					
CASH Cash	CR095 CH01CF	IN IN	SYSTEMS THE	INCLUDE	BEANS GENERAL		INCLUSE	CANE	
CHOICE	DEPENOS	UPON	FINANCING		ULICKAL		LINELODE	CARE	•
CROPS	DEPENOS	UPON UPON	FINANCING	FINANCING	-				
THÊ DIEGERENT	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE NARAST	•				
CASH	CHOICE	INCLUDE	DRY	BEANS	:				
CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	MARKET	•					
CROPS	DEPENDS	UPON	CLIMATE	:					
CHOICE	INCLUDE	BEETS	TUBACCO	•					
CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	SOIL	•					
CASH	CROPS	INCLUDE	BEETS	:					
CASH THE	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON Systems	FINANCING	UBON	C. 1845-			
CASH	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON	CLEMATE		CLIPSIE	•		
CASH	CHOICE	DEPENDS	UPON SYSTEPS	DEPENDS		MARKET	_		
CASH CROOS	CHOICE	INCLUDE	RICE						
MARKET		VENEKAL	CBRC	ULNERAL	PAKA	GENERAL	SYSTEMS	DEPENDS	UPON
CROPS	CASH DEPENDS	UPON	DEPENDS SOIL	UPON -	CLIMATE	•			

One has only to conduct new experiments in the generation of coherent discourse.

Our coherent discourse generation experiment has interesting implications for transformational theory 5, 1. The experiment involved control of co-occurrence; that is, the vocabulary of the output was limited to the vocabulary of the source text. It was demanded that pertinent transitive or intransitive dependency relations be held constant from source to output. The fact that the output sentences of Table 5 look like a set that might have been derived from the source text (page 56) by a series of truth-preserving transformations, suggests that dependency, in its transitive and intransitive aspects, is an invariant under a large number of transformations.

Also of great importance is the fact that these sentences were produced without the use of a list of transformations.* The implication here is that the coherent discourse generator contains a decision procedure for determining whether a sentence could have been derived from source text by an appropriate choice of transformations.

One might also note in passing that an automatic kernelizer would not be a difficult application of the principles involved. What is necessary is to adjust the sentence pattern rules of the coherent discourse generator so that only kernel type sentences can be generated. Inspection of Table 5 will reveal that a number of kernels derived from the source text have indeed been generated.

With respect to the Stratificational Theory of Language as propounded by Sydney Lamb⁸, our rules of transitive dependency permit the isolation of syntactic synonymy. It would seem that given control over co-occurrence of morphemes and control over syntactic synonymy, one has control over remaining sememic co-occurrence. This would suggest that our rules provide a decision procedure for determining the co-occurrence of sememes between one discourse and another, without need for recourse to elaborate dictionaries of sememes and sememic rules.

Potential Applications

The principles of transitive dependency and of syntactic synonymy lend themselves very readily to a number of useful language processing applications. Among these are the recognition of answers to questions, a computer essay writing system, and some improvements in automatic abstracting.

QUESTION ANSWERING

Given an English question and a set of statements some of which include answers and some of which do

* One transformation, however, was used implicitly, in that passive construction dependencies were determined as if the construction had been converted to an active one. not, the dependency logic is very helpful in eliminating statements which are not answers. The logic involved is similar to that used in the part of the coherent discourse generator which rejects sentences whose dependency relations are not in harmony with those in a source text. In the case of a question answering system, the question is treated as the source text. Instead of generating sentences for comparison of dependencies, a question answering system would inspect statements offered to it as potential answers, and reject those with dependencies whose inconsistencies with those of the question fall above a minimum threshold.

The primary set of potential answers might be selected through statistical criteria which would insure presence of terms which also occurred in the question. This set would then be subjected to analysis by a dependency comparison system. Such an approach is used in the protosynthex question answering system which is currently being programmed, and is partly operative on the IBM 7090.^{7, 11, 12}

For an example of this application, consider the question in Table 6 and some potential answers. Each of the potential answering sentences was selected to contain almost all of the words in the question. In the first potential answer, Answer 1, "cash" is dependent on "crops"; "are" is equivalent to "include" and is dependent on "crops"; "bean" is dependent on "are"; and "soy" is dependent on "bean." Thus the potential answer matches the question in every dependency link and, for this type of question, can be known to be an answer. The second example, Answer 2, also matches the question on every dependency pair and is also an answer.

In Answer 3, the importance of some of the rules which limit transitivity can be seen. For example, transitivity across a verb is not allowed. Thus "beans" is dependent on "eat" which is dependent on "people" which is dependent on "includes." Because "eat" is a verb, the dependency chain is broken and the match with the question fails. In a similar manner "cash" in the same sentence would be transitively dependent on "crops" via "bring," "to," and "fails" except that the chain breaks at "bring." In Answer 3, every dependency link of the question fails and the statement can unequivocally be rejected as a possible answer even though it contains all the words of the question.

In general, for question answering purposes, the matching of dependencies between question and answer results in a score. The higher this score value the greater the probability that the statement contains an answer.

AUTOMATIC ESSAY WRITING

A system to generate essays on a computer might make use of a question answering system and a coherent discourse generator. The input to such a system would be a detailed outline of some subject. Each topic in the

DEPENDENCIES OF A QUESTION AND SOME ANSWERS

	QUESTION	
Sequence		Dependency
1 2 3 4 5 6	Do cash crops include soy beans	3 3 6 4
Sequence	ANSWER 1	Dependency
sequence		Dependency
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Soy beans are a cash crop in the South	2 2,3 2,6,7 6 6 3 6,3 9 7
Sequence	Answer 2	Dependency
1	0.1	2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	crops include peanuts and soy beans	2 2 3 5 7 3
Sequence	ANSWER 3	Dependency
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	The state includes people who eat soy beans when the peanut crop fails to bring a cash return	2 2 3,5 4 5 8 6 6 12 12 9 12 13 14 18 18 15

outline would then be treated as if it were a retrieval question. This would mean that statements pertinent to the outline topic would be retrieved from a reference corpus. The vocabulary of the retrieved statements would then be placed in the vocabulary pool of the coherent discourse generator. Those words which were common to both the outline topic and the retrieved statements might be weighted so as to maximize the probability of their occurrence in the sentences that would be generated.

After a number of paraphrases had been produced, the one or ones which had the maximum number of words in common with those in the outline topic would be printed out as the computer-generated expansion for that topic. The process would continue for all the topics in the outline. The output would then be some form of essay—an essay with fuzzy transitions between ideas and perhaps occasional irrelevancies, but nevertheless a computer-generated essay.

AUTOMATED ABSTRACTING

A procedure similar to the one outlined for the computer production of essays might be expected to offer considerable improvement over the present state of automatic abstracting or extracting as discussed by Luhn⁹ and Edmundson³ and others. One approach might take a set of the most pertinent typical words represented in the article as estimated by frequency counting techniques and use a coherent discourse generator to construct a set of sentences, each of which included a maximal set of those words. The same process would be followed on the words which had not yet been built into sentences, until the list of words was exhausted. Since only dependencies present in the original article would be allowed, the resulting abstracted paraphrase could not deviate from the relationships set up by the author.

Another approach might impress on each article a frame of words pertinent to a particular literature searcher. For example, a chemist looking at an article would be interested in those statements that included chemical terms and chemical relationships. A physician looking at the same article would be interested in a medical vocabulary. By extracting from the article those words which matched the vocabulary of a particular discipline and weaving these into a set of sentences, we would expect to construct meaningful, specialized abstracts.

Summary and Conclusions

In this paper, the hypothesis that the dependency relationship between words is largely transitive has been supported by an experiment in producing coherent discourse on a computer. The experiment showed that dependency is *not* always a transitive relationship; some significant exceptions include the lack of transitivity across verbs, prepositions and many subordinating conjunctions. If these exceptions were ignored, the discourse generator could produce statements that were not truth-preserving derivations from the original text.

The rules of dependency and transitivity of dependence may be considered as the beginnings of a transitive dependency model of English. Experiment has supported this model to the extent that the use of such rules allows a computer to automatically generate coherent discourse. Other experiments in answering questions, generating essays and automatic abstracts have been planned and begun in order to further develop the model and to continue testing the hypothesis of transitive dependencies.

The question of the validity of transitive dependency models for other languages needs also to be con-

References

- Chomsky, N. Syntactic Structures. 's-Gravenhage: Mouton and Co., 1957.
- Compton's Encyclopedia—F. E. Compton & Co., Chicago, Vol. 1, 1962.
- Edmundson, H. P., Oswald, V. A., Jr., and Wyllys, R. E. Automatic Indexing and Abstracting of the Contents of Documents, PRC-126 ASTIA AD No. 231606. Los Angeles: Planning Research Corporation, 1959.
- 4. Harris, Zellig. From Morpheme to Utterance. *Language*, Vol. 22, pp. 161-183, 1946.
- 5. Harris, Zellig. Co-occurrence and Transformation in Linguistic Structure. *Language*, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 283-340, 1957.
- Hays, D. G. Studies in Machine Translation—10: Russian Sentence-Structure Determination,

RM-2538. The RAND Corporation, 1960.

- Klein, S., and Simmons, R. F. A Computational Approach to Grammatical Coding of English Words. SDC document SP-701. 25 pp., February 22, 1962.
- Lamb, Sydney M. Outline of Stratificational Grammar. University of California, Berkeley, 1962. (mimeo)
- Luhn, H. P. The Automatic Creation of Literature Abstracts. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 159-165, 1958.
- Nagel, E. and Newman, J. R. Gödel's Proof. New York University Press, 1958.
- 11. Simmons, R. F., Klein, S., and McConlogue, K. Toward the Synthesis of Human Language Be-

sidered. Since the technique for dependency analysis originated in Europe and is currently finding use on at least one French language project and several efforts at Russian translation, it is reasonable to suspect that transitivity is as important in these languages as it appears to be for English. An important linguistic question whose answer depends on many more experiments is "To what extent is transitive dependency a feature of the logical syntax of all human languages?"

Received October 8, 1962

havior. *Behavioral Science*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 402-407, 1962.

- Simmons, R. F., and McConlogue, K. Maximum-depth Indexing for Computer Retrieval of English Language Data. SDC document SP-775. 22 pp., 1962. *American Documentation* (in press), January 1963.
- Yngve, Victor H. A Model and an Hypothesis for Language Structure. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, Vol. 104, No. 5, pp. 444-466, 1960.
- 14. Yngve, Victor H. Random Generation of English Sentences. Proceedings of the 1961 Conference on Machine Translation of Languages and Applied Language Analysis, National Physical Laboratory Symposium No. 13, pp. 66-80, 1962.